

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,468	05/07/2004	Bill Yang	13050-US-PA	3467	
31561 759	• • • • • • • • • •	EXAMINER			
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN			LUKS, JEREMY AUSTIN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2837		
CHARTENED OT A TUTORY F	DEDICE OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	V MODE	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 04/03/2007			PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/709,468	YANG, BILL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeremy Luks	2837			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY SHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  m the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Marths</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-15 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-15 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access and access a contract and	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 1. Hawker (5,790,679) in view of Dyer (6,688,421). Hawker teaches a speaker module (Figure 3, #26) (Col. 3, Lines 31-34), suitable for disposing a microspeaker (20) therein, comprising a speaker module frame (26) having a main portion having an accommodating hole (34), said accommodating hole (34) accommodating a microspeaker (Figures 1 and 3, #20); and an extending portion (28), extending from a side of said main portion to form a fixed resonance space (30) for said microspeaker (20), wherein the microspeaker (20) is disposed about a side wall (end portion of #28) of the extending portion (28) defining the accommodating hole (34); wherein the area of resonance space (30) is larger than the area of said microspeaker (20). Hawker fails to teach a plurality of positioning slices extending from the sidewall of said accommodating hole to a center of said accommodating hole for positioning a speaker. Dyer teaches a speaker module frame (Figure 3, #101) including a plurality of positioning slices (350) extending from sidewall when used in combination of said accommodating hole (104) to a center of said accommodating hole (104) for positioning a speaker (Col. 4, Lines 21-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to combine the apparatus of Hawker, with the apparatus of Dyer to more securely hold the speaker within the module, while allowing for easier insertion and removal if a replacement speaker is needed.

2. Claims 6-8 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawker (5,790,679) in view of Dyer (6,688,421) and Hansson (6,493,456). Hawker teaches a speaker module (Figure 3, #26) (Col. 3, Lines 31-34), suitable for disposing a microspeaker (20) therein, comprising a speaker module frame (26) having a main portion having an accommodating hole (34), said accommodating hole (34) accommodating a microspeaker (Figures 1 and 3, #20); and an extending portion (28), extending from a side of said main portion to form a fixed resonance space (30) for said microspeaker (20), wherein the microspeaker (20) is disposed about a side wall (end portion of #28) of the extending portion (28) defining the accommodating hole (34); a front cover (36), disposed at a first side of said speaker module frame, a front sound enclosure (labeled front enclosure) being formed between said front cover (36) and said speaker module frame (28), defining the resonance space (30), said front cover (36) having a plurality of tone holes (40, 42); and a rear cover (38), disposed at a second side of said module frame (28), said second side being opposite to said first side, a rear sound enclosure (labeled back enclosure) being formed between said rear cover (38) and said speaker module frame (28); wherein the area (30) of said speaker module frame facing said front sound enclosure is larger than the area of said microspeaker (20). Hawker fails to teach wherein said speaker module frame includes a plurality of positioning slices extending from a sidewall of said accommodating hole to a center of said accommodating hole for positioning said microspeaker; and wherein the rear sound

enclosure has an "L" shaped cross-section comprising a first portion formed extending along the rear cover, and a second portion formed extending perpendicular with the rear cover. Dyer teaches a speaker module frame (Figure 3, #101) including a plurality of positioning slices (350) extending from and sidewall when used in combination of said accommodating hole (104) to a center of said accommodating hole (104) for positioning a speaker (Col. 4, Lines 21-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the apparatus of Hawker, with the apparatus of Dyer to more securely hold the speaker within the module, while allowing for easier insertion and removal if a replacement speaker is needed. Hansson teaches a rear sound enclosure (150A and 150B) has an "L" shaped cross-section comprising a first portion (150A) formed extending along a rear cover (rear wall #154 could be a rear cover), and a second portion (150B) formed extending perpendicular with the rear cover (rear wall #154 could be a rear cover). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the apparatus of Hawker as modified, with the apparatus of Hansson to tune the speaker.

3. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawker (5,790,679) and Dyer (6,688,421) as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Croft (2002/0191808). Hawker and Dyer are relied upon for the reasons and disclosures set forth above including a microspeaker (Figure 3, #20) and a sidewall (end portion of #28). Hawker and Dyer fail to teach wherein said microspeaker includes a speaker vibration film having a coil and a magnetic loop. Croft teaches a speaker including a speaker vibration film (Figure 5, #21) having a coil (26) (Page 10, [0129]) and a magnetic loop (Figure 26, #40) (Page 13, [0156]). It would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the apparatus of Hawker as modified, with the apparatus of Croft to increase the efficiency of the speaker and therefore create a reduction in power requirements allowing for high acoustic outputs in a smaller size with out prematurely reaching thermal limits.

5. Claims 9-10 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawker (5,790,679), Dyer (6,688,421) and Hansson (6,493,456), as applied to claims 6 and 11, and further in view of Croft (2002/0191808). Hawker, Dyer and Hansson are relied upon for the reasons and disclosures set forth above including a microspeaker (Figure 3, #20) and a sidewall (end portion of #28). Hawker, Dyer and Hansson fail to teach wherein said microspeaker includes a speaker vibration film having a coil and a magnetic loop. Croft teaches a speaker including a speaker vibration film (Figure 5, #21) having a coil (26) (Page 10, [0129]) and a magnetic loop (Figure 26, #40) (Page 13, [0156]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the apparatus of Hawker as modified, with the apparatus of Croft to increase the efficiency of the speaker and therefore create a reduction in power requirements allowing for high acoustic outputs in a smaller size with out prematurely reaching thermal limits.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Examiner considers the obvious combination of Hawker, Dyer, Hansson and Croft to teach all of the limitations as claimed by Applicant. While the Examiner still disagrees with Applicant's

Application/Control Number: 10/709,468

Art Unit: 2837

interpretation of an edge/sidewall with respect to the prior art and pending claims, when combining Hawker and Dyer, the positioning slices of Dyer would extend from the sidewalls of extending portion #28/accommodating hole #34 as clarified above. Further, one of ordinary skill would recognize that a sidewall is an edge, and in this case, the edge of Dyer performs the same function as the sidewall of Applicant's invention with the respect to the retention flaps or positioning slices. Further, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art.

See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy Luks whose telephone number is (571) 272-2707. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30-6:00, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on (571) 272-1988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeremy Luks Patent Examin

Art Unit 2837 Class 181

SUPERVISO